

**NOTICE OF RULE ADOPTION—FINAL RULE**  
**STATE OF MISSISSIPPI**  
**MISSISSIPPI STATE BOARD OF ARCHITECTURE**

**Mississippi State Board of Architecture**  
Jenny Wilkinson, Executive Director  
2 Professional Parkway, #2B  
Ridgeland, MS 39157  
601-856-4652  
msboa@archbd.state.ms.us

**Specific Legal Authority authorizing the promulgation of Rule:**  
Miss. Annot. 73-1-1 et seq.  
**Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule:**  
1.01, 1.02, 1.04. 3.02.16, 4.05.12, Chapter V

**Date Rule Proposed:** July 1, 2008

**Explanation of the Purpose of the Proposed Rule and the reason(s) for proposing the rule:**

- 1.01 – Allow testing (via the ARE) concurrent with internship, provided the applicant has met specific education and experience requirements.
- 1.02 – Extend the IDP reporting period from 120 days to 180 days, and extend the filing period from 15 days to 30 days.
- 1.04 – Clarification only.
- 3.02.16 – Prescribe the process for a successor architect to take over a project when the original architect can no longer provide services.
- 4.05.12 – Clarify that in design build, the architect cannot be an employee of the contractor or anyone who is not licensed as an architect or engineer.
- Chapter 5 – Increase minimum disciplinary actions and clarifications.

**The Agency Rule Making Record for this rule including any written comments received during the comment period and the record of any oral proceeding is available for public inspection by contacting the Agency at the above address.**

An oral proceeding was held on this rule:

Date: {Insert Date}  
Time: {Insert Time}  
Place: {Insert Place}

An oral proceeding was not held on this rule.

**The Agency has considered the written comments and the presentations made in any oral proceedings, and**

This rule as adopted is without variance from the proposed rule.

This rule as adopted differs from the proposed rule as there are minor editorial changes which affect the form rather than the substance of the rule.

The rule as adopted differs from the proposed rule. The differences however are:  
Within the scope of the matters in the Notice of Proposed Rule Adoption, the logical outgrowth of the contents of the Notice of Proposed Rule Adoption and the comments submitted in response thereto, and  
The Notice of Proposed Rule Adoption provided fair warning that the outcome of the proposed rule adoption could be the rule in question.

The entire text of the Proposed Rule including the text of any rule being amended or changed is attached.

**Effective Date of Rule:** September 1, 2008



**Signature and Title of Person Submitting Rule for Filing**