



DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| AGENCY NAME Mississippi Department of Health | CONTACT PERSON Mike Lucius | TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-576-7847 |
| ADDRESS 570 East Woodrow Wilson | CITY Jackson | STATE MS ZIP 39216 |
| EMAIL Mike.Lucius@msdh.state.ms.us | DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Regulations Governing Licensure of Professional Art Therapists, Regulations Governing the Registration of Medical Radiation Technologists, and Regulations Governing the Licensure of Respiratory Care Practitioners | |
| Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: <u>§73-65-1, §41-58-3, and §73-57-13</u> | Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: <u>PAT Rule 2.1.3, Rule 2.4.1, Rule 2.4.3, Rule 2.4.4, and Rule 2.4.5, RCP Rule 9.1.3, Rule 9.2.2, Rule 9.4.1, Rule 9.4.2; Rule 94.3, Rule 9.5.2, Rule 9.6.1, Rule 9.6.2, Rule 9.7.5, Rule 9.8.4, Rule 9.9.1, Rule 9.10.1, Rule 9.10.2, MRT Rule 7.1.2, Rule 7.2.1, Rule 7.3.1, Rule 7.3.6, Rule 7.4.2, Rule 7.5.3, Rule 7.6.1, and Rule 7.9.1</u> | |

| | |
|------------------|---|
| SIGNATURE | TITLE Deputy State Health Officer/Chief Administrative Officer |
| DATE 09/10/12 | PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE 30 days after filing |

1. Describe the need for the proposed action: To amend licensure regulations to be consistent with state law.
2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action: Better protection of the citizens of the State of Mississippi.
3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare: It will enhance the health, safety, and welfare of the public.
4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues: The is no additional cost or impact on paperwork and revenues.

5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action: None
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: There will be no impact on small businesses.
 - a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: None
 - b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: None
 - c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: None
 - d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
 - i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
 - v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations: There are no less intrusive or less costly alternatives.
7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule: None
8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law: There are none.
9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency: There are none.
10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above: N/A
11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection: An in depth analysis of enabling statutes was undertaken.