DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME	CONTACT PERSON		TELEPHONE NUMBER
Board of Animal Health	Dr. Jim Watson		601-359-1170
ADDRESS PO Box 3889	CITY Jackson	STATE MS	ZIP 39110
EMAIL jimw@mdac.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Swine Sales		

Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule:	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule:
Miss. Code Ann., Sec. 69-15-3	Subpart 2, Ch. 17, Sec. 100

SIGNATURE X	TITLE State Veterinarian
DATE July 22, 2014	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE September ___, 2014

1. Describe the need for the proposed action: Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea virus (PEDV) came into United States in April 2013, and has since spread to many states resulting in loss of approximately 7 million pigs. It is a highly contagious disease, that can cause large losses to the Mississippi swine industry.
2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action: This regulation will provide information valuable to the surveillance efforts directed at PEDv control of disease within Mississippi.
3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare: Rapid response to disease will limit spread of disease and reduce losses associated with disease.
4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues: There will be no increased cost to the agency
5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action: economic benefit will be due to limiting spread of disease and mortality of pigs thus benefiting the pig farmer.
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: There will be no negative impact or extra cost of the rule on small business.

a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: unknown, but over 1000
b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: phone call or email notification of sale to State Veterinarian 30 days prior to sale
c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: none
d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis: NONE
    i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
    v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:

7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule: Delayed notification of swine sales will delay ability of Board of Animal Health to respond to disease investigations causing increased economic loss to swine industry.
8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law: None
9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency: None
10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above:
11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection: Information for implementation of the rule came from research conducted by the National Pork Board as well as known disease investigation and control tools.