



DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A **PDF** document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME Mississippi State Department of Health	CONTACT PERSON Mitchell Adcock	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-576-7847
ADDRESS 570 East Woodrow Wilson	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL Bob.fagan@msdh.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Part 15: Division of On-site Wastewater; Subpart 77: On-site Wastewater Regulations	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: 41-67-3, MS Individual On-site Wastewater Disposal System Law	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Individual On-site Wastewater Disposal System regulations	

SIGNATURE 	TITLE Mitchell Adcock, Chief Administrative Officer
DATE 8/29/14	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE 30 days after filing

1. Describe the need for the proposed action:

To align actual water usage with majority of other states, remove redundant components from having to be installed, provide a cost break to homeowner during routine maintenance.

2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:

Will provide clear and concise instructions as to how to design, install, operate and maintain an IOWDS.

3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:

Reducing the exposure of electrical connections to water sources, therefore eliminating a potential electrical shock to anyone inspecting the IOWDS

4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:

The agency will lose \$100 by the adoption of one of these rules. The remaining rules will not cost the agency any additional funding. Those agencies that may utilize an Individual On-site Wastewater Disposal System will have to absorb the additional cost from the changes made by Certified Manufacturers and construction methods utilized by the Certified Installers.

5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:

\$0 – 100

6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: See 5 above.

- a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation:

500+ (Certified Installers)

- b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record:

None

- c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses:

Certified Manufacturer would have to purchase appropriate components with Certified Installer having to purchase necessary appropriate components for installation and Distributors would have to consider acquisition of other materials (i.e. polyethylene, fiberglass or concrete)

- d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:

- i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

N/A

- ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

N/A

- iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;

N/A

- iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and

N/A

- v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:

N/A

7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:

The cost and benefits are equal since all Certified Installers have options to choose from Certified Manufacturers and/or Distributors.

8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:

No

9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency:

N/A

10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above:

N/A

11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection:

Best professional judgment, research of statutes and other states, and polling of Certified Installers, Certified Pumpers, Distributors, Certified Manufacturers and Wastewater Advisory Council