



DELBERT HOSEMAN  
Secretary of State

**ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME MS State Department of Health	CONTACT PERSON Mitchell Adcock	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-576-7847
ADDRESS PO Box 1700	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL ingrid.williams@msdh.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR COMPREHENSIVE RESIDENTIAL REHABILITATION – BRAIN AND SPINAL CORD INJURY (CRR-BSCI)	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: Miss. Code Ann. §43-11-13	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: n/a	

**A. Estimated Costs and Benefits**

SIGNATURE 	TITLE Mitchell Adcock, Chief Administrative Officer
DATE 9-3-15	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE: 30 days after final filing

1. Describe the need for the proposed action:

No facility currently exists in Mississippi specifically to care for brain and/or spinal cord injury patients.

2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:

MS will have a facility type devoted to care of brain and spinal cord injury patients in transitional or life-long program.

3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:

See #2, above.

4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:

The cost of MSDH will be developing and maintaining Minimum Standards and surveying the facilities periodically.

5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:

See #8, below.

6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: None

7. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: None
- a. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record:
  - b. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses:
  - c. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
    - i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    - ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    - iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    - iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation;
    - v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:
8. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:
- No existing business is required to spend anything because of the adopted rule. A business that wishes to open a facility will incur costs but will offset them with profits made from operating the business. At the present time no company has requested to receive any state or federal funds to operate such a business.
9. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:
- No reasonable alternative method exists for caring for brain and spinal cord injury patients.
10. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency:
- See #9, above.
11. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above: See #9, above.
12. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection: