



DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A **PDF** document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME Mississippi State Department of Health	CONTACT PERSON Mitchell Adcock	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-576-7847
ADDRESS PO Box 1700/570 E Woodrow Wilson Blvd.	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL ingrid.williams@msdh.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Regulations Governing Registration of Medical Radiation Technologists	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: Section 73-55-17	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Rule 1.7.2	

SIGNATURE 	TITLE Mitchell Adcock, Chief Administrative Officer
DATE 3/3/16	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE 30 days after filing

1. Describe the need for the proposed action:

The amendment to this regulation is necessary to bring it into alignment with the continuing education requirements of the BOC, Inc. (formally known as the National Athletic Trainers' Association Board of Certification, Inc.). The BOC does the national certification of athletic trainers.

2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:

The proposed rule amendment is necessary to provide continuity between the State licensing continuing education requirements and the national certification continuing education requirements and thereby eliminating different requirements for State licensing and national certification.

3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:

There is the potential that the overall health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Mississippi will be improved.

4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:

There will be no additional cost to other state or local government entities. There will be a no increase in paper work and no effect on state or local revenues.

5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:

There will be no cost or economic benefit.

6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: See 5 above.

- a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation:

None

- b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record:

None

- c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses:

None

- d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:

- i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
- iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
- v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:

There are no less intrusive methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation.

7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:

The cost to providers will not be significantly different if the proposed rule is not adopted.

8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:

It has been determined that there are no less costly methods for the achieving the purpose of the proposed rule.

9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency:

There are no other reasonable alternative methods.

10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above:

N/A

11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection:

The Athletic Trainer licensing statute and regulations were thoroughly reviewed. In addition, the continuing education requirements of the BOC were reviewed and the Council of Advisors in Athletic Training was consulted and the Council voted to approve the amendment.