



DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME Board of Animal Health	CONTACT PERSON James A. Watson, D.V.M.	TELEPHONE NUMBER (601)359-1170
ADDRESS P.O. Box 3889	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL jimw@mdac.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Reportable Diseases	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: <i>Miss.Code Ann., §69-15-3</i>	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Subpart 2, Ch. 15, §101	

SIGNATURE <i>Lana E. Mudd</i>	TITLE Special Projects Officer
DATE April 19, 2016	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE August 8, 2016

1. Describe the need for the proposed action:
Prevent spread of canine brucellosis to other animals and to humans.
2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:
Fewer cases of animal and human canine brucellosis.
3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:
Canine brucellosis is a public health disease; reducing the number of cases of this disease will promote public health.
4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:
Little to none.
5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:
Unknown.
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business:
not done.
 - a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation:
unknown

- b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record:
none – reporting can be done by email or phone.
- c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses:
none
- d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
 - i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
 - v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:
none
- 7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:
Alleviate animal illness and death as well as human illness and suffering.
- 8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:
None.
- 9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency:
None.
- 10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above:
N/A
- 11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection:
N/A