DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME	CONTACT PERSON		TELEPHONE NUMBER
Board of Animal Health	James A. Watson, D.V.M.		(601)359-1170
ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
P.O. Box 3889	Jackson	MS	39207
EMAIL	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE		
jimw@mdac.ms.gov	Bovine Trichomoniasis		

Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule:	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule:
Miss. Code Ann., §69-15-3	Subpart 2, Ch. 19, §104, 105 & 106

SIGNATURE	TITLE
	State Veterinarian
DATE	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE
April 20, 2016	August 8, 2016

1. Describe the need for the proposed action:
   Control bovine trichomoniasis
2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:
   The proposed rule amendment will reduce the likelihood of bovine trichomoniasis being introduced into Mississippi and improve the ability of the board of animal health to control the disease when found in Mississippi.
3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:
   Bovine trichomoniasis is an economically devastating disease to cattle producers. Controlling this disease will save cattle producers money.
4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:
   None.
5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:
   Unknown.
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: Provide economic savings.
   a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation:
      15,000 cattle producers

b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: None.
c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: Prevent devastating disease from occurring in their herd.
d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
    i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
    iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
    v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations:

7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule: N/A
8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law: None.
9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency: None.
10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above: N/A
11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection: N/A