DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME MS State Dept of Health	CONTACT PERSON Mitchell Adcock		Telephone Number 601-576-7847
ADDRESS 143 B LeFleurs Sq/PO Box 1700	CITY Jackson	STATE MS	ZIP 39215-1700
EMAIL Ingrid.williams@msdh.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Minimum Standards for Personal Care Homes Assisted Living		
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: Miss Code Ann. §43-11-13	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rules: Minimum Standards for Personal Care Homes Assisted Living Rule 47.12.5		

SIGNATURE	TITLE
	Mitchell Adcock, Chief Administrative Officer
DATE 5/18/16	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE 30 days after filing

1. Describe the need for the proposed action. Residents in personal care homes, both residential and assisted living, do not receive educational information on Influenza disease at this time.
2. Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action: Residents in personal care homes, both residential and assisted living, will receive educational information on Influenza disease.
3. Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare: It will provide information on influenza disease to residents in personal care homes, both residential and assisted living,
4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues: None. The new rule does not require facilities to provide or pay for any vaccination against influenza.

5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action: We cannot identify with certainty the exact cost to residents; the rule does not require facilities to provide or pay for any vaccination against influenza.
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: None.
7. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: None.
8. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: None.
9. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: None.
    a. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
        i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
        ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
        iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
        iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; None
        v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations: NA
10. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule: There would be no cost to the facilities. The residents who choose to receive the vaccine would lower their risk of contracting influenza.
11. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law: None
12. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency: There are none.
13. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above: NA
14. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection: We did not have specific data to refer to since this is a new requirement in Mississippi.